

# Informationen

**Beschlussfassung der Generalkonferenz  
in St. Louis (Missouri, USA) am 26. Februar 2019**

## **Der Traditional Plan**

### **Teil 1**

Geschichte und Beschreibung  
Seite 2-11

### **Teil 2**

Anträge und Änderungen  
Seite 13-27

## A Conversation Within the Commission on a Way Forward The Traditionalist Plan

### *Important Note*

The request to include a full Traditionalist Model was received by the Commission on a Way Forward just prior to its last meeting, which began on May 14, 2018. Members of the Commission on a Way Forward registered concern that the time available did not allow for the full conciliar process utilized for the other two plans offered by the Commission on a Way Forward. The One Church Plan and the Connectional Conference Plan both received intensive and comprehensive participation from the Commission and the Council of Bishops over an extended period of time. While there was some support within both the Commission and the Council of Bishops for a Traditionalist Model, the support was modest enough in both groups to discontinue the Commission's earlier work on this model. In order to serve the May 2018 request from the Council of Bishops, the Commission on a Way Forward resubmits the sketch sent to the Council of Bishops in November 2017 as our work on the Traditionalist Model along with the history of this work and its implications for various bodies in The United Methodist Church.

### **History of Traditionalist Plan**

- The Commission on a Way Forward developed a sketch of a Traditional Model that was submitted with the sketches of the One Church Model and the Multi-Branch plan in November 2017.
- It was reported to the Commission on a Way Forward that the Council of Bishops did not vote on or express any preference on the models but when asked which model(s) the Commission should develop, both the One-Church sketch and the Multi-Branch sketch were the focus.
- The One-Church sketch and the Multi-Branch sketch were each developed into full plans by the Commission on a Way Forward for consideration by the Council of Bishops for their February 2018 meeting. The Multi-Branch sketch later was titled the Connectional Conference Plan.
- At the April 29-May 4, 2018 meeting of the Council of Bishops, the Commission on a Way Forward was asked to provide a Traditional Plan. The Commission on a Way Forward was asked to develop this plan by the end of its May 14-16 meeting.

### **Summary of the Traditionalist Plan (as presented to the November Council of Bishops Meeting)**

#### *Primary Action*

Accountability to the current *Book of Discipline* language

#### *Disciplinary Language and Implications*

- Broaden the definition of self-avowed practicing homosexual to include persons living in a same-sex marriage or civil union or persons who publicly state that they are practicing homosexuals.
- Mandate that any just resolution shall include a commitment not to repeat the offense.
- Require every annual conference to certify that they will uphold, enforce, and maintain the *Discipline's* standards on LGBTQ marriage and ordination.
- Annual conferences that did not so certify would be encouraged to form something similar to an "autonomous, affiliated, or concordat church." As of 2021, annual conferences who could not so certify could no longer use the United Methodist name and logo, and they could no longer receive any funds from The United Methodist Church.
- Require bishops (active and retired) to certify that they will uphold, enforce, and maintain the *Discipline's* standards on LGBTQ marriage and ordination. Active bishops who did not so certify would not be eligible to receive compensation for expenses as of 2021, and would be encouraged to join the "autonomous, affiliated, or concordat church" formed by the above annual conferences.
- Local churches that disagreed with their annual conference's decision to not enforce the *Discipline's* standards could vote to remain with The UMC.
- Local churches that disagreed with their annual conference's decision to enforce the *Discipline's* standards could vote to withdraw from The UMC and unite with the "autonomous, affiliated, or concordat church."

- Clergy who could not maintain the *Discipline*'s standards on LGBTQ marriage and ordination would be encouraged to join the "autonomous, affiliated, or concordat church."

### **The Effects of the Traditionalist Plan on Each Segment of The United Methodist Church**

#### ***Local Church***

- Local churches would not be required to vote.
- Local churches that disagreed with their annual conference's decision to not enforce the *Discipline*'s standards could vote to remain with The UMC.
- Local churches that disagreed with their annual conference's decision to enforce the *Discipline*'s standards could vote to withdraw from The UMC and unite with the "autonomous, affiliated, or concordat church."

#### ***Clergy***

- Clergy who could not maintain the *Discipline*'s standards on LGBTQ marriage and ordination would be encouraged to join the "autonomous, affiliated, or concordat church."
- Mandate that any just resolution shall include a commitment not to repeat the offense.
- Clergy would surrender their credentials if found guilty of breaking provisions of the *Book of Discipline* related to same-gender marriage.
- The expectation is that clergy who cannot conform to the provisions of the *Book of Discipline* related to their identity as self-avowed, practicing homosexuals or the performance of same-sex weddings would be expected to leave. The process of leaving would have to be developed in accordance to the Constitution.

#### ***Annual Conferences***

- Require every annual conference to certify that they will uphold, enforce, and maintain the *Discipline*'s standards on LGBTQ marriage and ordination.

- Annual conferences that did not so certify would be encouraged to form something similar to an "autonomous, affiliated, or concordat church." As of 2021, annual conferences who could not so certify could no longer use the United Methodist name and logo, and they could no longer receive any funds from The United Methodist Church.

#### ***Bishops***

- Require bishops (active and retired) to certify that they will uphold, enforce, and maintain the *Discipline*'s standards on LGBTQ marriage and ordination. Active bishops who did not so certify would not be eligible to receive compensation for expenses as of 2021, and would be encouraged to join the "autonomous, affiliated, or concordat church" formed by the above annual conferences.

#### ***General Agencies***

- All general boards and agencies will continue.
- General boards and agencies have the ability to negotiate their services with churches that have left The UMC.

#### ***UMC-Related Institutions***

- UMC-related institutions would retain their current relationships and affiliations unless under their by-law they change these relationships.

#### ***Mission Field***

- The intention of this model is to use the energy of the Church to reach the mission field rather than in processes related to Church conflict.

#### ***Judicial Council***

- The plan makes no changes to the Judicial Council.

#### ***Global Church***

- It aligns the position of the church related to LGBTQ inclusion with the majority of the global church outside the United States.

## Appendix 1

# The Work of the Commission on the Possibility of Churches Desiring to Change Their Relationship with The United Methodist Church

The Commission on a Way Forward and the Council of Bishops had significant conversations about the possibility of churches desiring to change their relationship with The United Methodist Church in response to the legislation connected to the Commission's report and the called session of the General Conference.

Here is a brief summary of the Commission and Council's conversation:

- The idea that some churches might desire to change their relationship with The United Methodist Church in response to the decisions made by General Conference is not a new idea and precedes the formation of the Commission on a Way Forward.
- In the July Status Report of the Commission's work, the Commission noted that one of its goals for the final report was to include an "allowance for those who don't adopt a revised structure." (See slide 9 of the Commission on a Way Forward's "Status Report"—[s3.amazonaws.com/Website\\_Properties/news-media/press-center/documents/COWF\\_July\\_2017\\_Report\\_Final.pdf](https://s3.amazonaws.com/Website_Properties/news-media/press-center/documents/COWF_July_2017_Report_Final.pdf).)
- As the models were developed and shared with the Council of Bishops in November 2017, there was discussion about the concept of a "gracious way of exit for those who feel called to exit the denomination" being included in each sketch. (See Council of Bishop's Press Release on November 9, 2017 <http://www.umc.org/who-we-are/bishops-uphold-values-of-mission-unity-space-contextuality-in-interim-report>.)
- In January 2018 the Commission on a Way Forward asked the bishops to specifically discuss the concept of a "gracious exit" at their February 2018 Council of Bishops meeting.
- In February 2018 the Council of Bishops discussed "gracious exit" and shared the following thoughts:
  - The language of "exit" should be used carefully so as not to encourage people to look for an "exit" but rather help The United Methodist Church focus on unity.
  - *Gracious* will be defined not by the process but by the implementation of the process.
  - The *Book of Discipline* already provides a means and reasons why a congregation might leave and the current *Book of Discipline* language could be the guide for a further process.
  - Many annual conferences have already developed practices and models in consultation with Wespeth and GCFA. These should be shared.
  - Bishops need to do this work collaboratively, utilizing appropriate resources, and work on a case-by-case basis using best practices.
  - As plans make allowance for the possibility that some persons may depart from The United Methodist Church, we have been governed by the principle of not doing harm to one another.
- At the end of the February 2018 Council of Bishops meeting, the Council gave direction to the Commission that stated: "The Commission doesn't need to continue to use language of gracious exit or explore it further at this time but rather use current *Book of Discipline* process and invite Bishops and others (like Wespeth and GCFA) to share best practices." Additionally the Council of Bishops invited the Commission to explore the possibility of adding an option to form or join an autonomous affiliated church.
- At its March 2018 meeting, the Commission discussed the autonomous affiliated possibility and worked on some other examples and models of "gracious exit." The Commission included some possibilities and options in its report to the May 2018 Council of Bishops meeting.
- The Council of Bishops discussed autonomous affiliated and other exit possibilities at their May 2018

meeting and concluded that autonomous affiliated should not be included as recommended legislation or legislative petitions to the 2019 special session of the General Conference. Instead it should be part of a historical narrative of the work of the Commission and Council.

- Concerns from the Council of Bishops about autonomous affiliated included the impact on ecumenical relationships, impact on relationships with current autonomous affiliated churches, the constitutionality of proposed autonomous affiliated legislation for churches in the U.S., the need to remain consistent with our connectional ecclesiology and the need for more study.
- At the same time the Council of Bishops recognized the need to maintain relationships with those who may choose to leave. The Council pondered the practical concerns around various proposed disciplinary mechanisms and explored the possibility of exploring future legislation that could be implemented at a future General Conference.
- There was a deep sense among some bishops that the Church should not move too quickly toward overly defining exit paths that do not take into account the context of each situation or using disciplinary language like autonomous affiliated or concordat relationships that most people within the Church don't fully understand.
- Additionally, Wespath identified the key issues in churches and clergy exiting as it relates to the pension plans. This collective wisdom of Wespath is included in Appendix 4 to this report and in the recommended petitions, amending ¶ 1504 and the Clergy Retirement Security Program, included in this report regarding the One Church Plan above.
- The conciliar work of the Commission and Council provides a model for annual conferences to do the faithful discernment that will allow them to find a way forward.

## Appendix 2

### The Council of Bishops' Collaboration with the Commission on a Way Forward

#### Preface

The Commission on a Way Forward deeply appreciates the collaboration and feedback from the Council of Bishops. The report of the Commission is a product of the collaborative process between the Commission and the Council of Bishops. With appreciation for collaboration, the Commission on a Way Forward includes the bishops' original statement to the 2016 General Conference, the Council of Bishops' motion and rationale, and the clarifying statement.

#### The Bishops' Statement at the 2016 General Conference, Portland, Oregon

Your bishops were honored to receive the request of General Conference to help lead our United Methodist Church forward during this time of both great crisis and great opportunity. As far as we can discover, this is the first time that a General Conference has ever made such a request of the Council of Bishops, and we accept this request with humility.

We share with you a deep commitment to the unity of the church in Christ our Lord. Yesterday, our president shared the deep pain we feel. We have all prayed for months and continue to do so. We seek, in this *kairos* moment, a way forward for profound unity on human sexuality and other matters. This deep unity allows for a variety of expressions to coexist in one church. Within the Church, we are called to work and pray for more Christ-like unity with each other rather than separation from one another. This is the prayer of Jesus in John 17:21-23.

#### Unity

We believe that our unity is found in Jesus Christ; it is not something we achieve but something we receive as a gift from God. We understand that part of our role as bishops is to lead the church toward new behaviors, a new way of being and new forms and structures which allow a unity of our mission of "making disciples of Jesus Christ for the transformation of the world" while allowing for differing expressions as a global church. Developing such new forms will require a concerted effort by all of us, and we your bishops commit ourselves to lead this effort. We ask you, as a General Conference, to affirm your own commitment to maintaining and strengthening the unity

of the church. We will coordinate this work with the various efforts already underway to develop global structures and a new *General Book of Discipline* for our church. Strengthening the unity of the church is a responsibility for all of us.

#### Prayer

We accept our role as spiritual leaders to lead The UMC in a "pause for prayer"—to step back from attempts at legislative solutions and to intentionally seek God's will for the future. As a Council of Bishops, we will lead the church in every part of the world in times of worship, study, discernment, confession, and prayer for God's guidance. We ask you, as a General Conference, to join us in this effort, beginning this week. We were moved by the sight of delegates praying around the table, and we hope these efforts will continue. As your bishops we are ready to join you and to lead you in these times of prayer.

#### Processes

We have discussed in depth the processes which might help our church heal and move forward—up to and including the possibility of a called General Conference in 2018 or 2019. We have not finalized our plans for such processes, but we will keep working on options we have heard from many of you, and we will keep reporting to this General Conference and to the whole church.

#### Next Steps

We recommend that the General Conference defer all votes on human sexuality and refer this entire subject to a special Commission, named by the Council of Bishops, to develop a complete examination and possible revision of every paragraph in our *Book of Discipline* regarding human sexuality. We continue to hear from many people on the debate over sexuality that our current *Discipline* contains language which is contradictory, unnecessarily hurtful, and inadequate for the variety of local, regional, and global contexts. We will name such a Commission to include persons from every region of our UMC, and will include representation from differing perspectives on the debate. We commit to maintain an ongoing dialogue with this Commission as they do their work, including clear objectives and outcomes. Should they complete

their work in time for a called General Conference, then we will call a two- to three-day gathering before the 2020 General Conference. (We will consult with GCFA regarding cost-effective ways to hold that gathering.)

### ***Continuing Discussions***

We will continue to explore options to help the church live in grace with one another—including ways to avoid further complaints, trials, and harm while we uphold the *Discipline*. We will continue our conversation on this matter and report our progress to you and to the whole church.

Today, as a way of beginning to find our way forward, we suggest that in place of the allotted legislative time we spend 1-2 hours of plenary time in prayer, confession, and exploration of a creative way forward. The bishops are prepared to provide questions to guide your conversations. Your conversations will be the first step to a way forward.

### **Motion and Rationale for a Way Forward, Approved by the Council of Bishops in May 2018**

United Methodist bishops, meeting in Chicago, engaged in a prayerful process to discern a way forward. At the conclusion of the discernment process, the Council of Bishops strongly approved the following motion and rationale:

#### ***Motion***

Having received and considered the extensive work of the Commission on a Way Forward, the Council of Bishops will submit a report to the Special Session of the General Conference in 2019 that includes:

- All three plans (The Traditionalist Plan, The One Church Plan, and The Connectional Conference Plan) for a way forward considered by the Commission and the Council.
- The Council's recommendation of the One Church Plan.
- A historical narrative of the Council's discernment process regarding all three plans.

#### ***Rationale***

In order to invite the church to go deeper into the journey the Council and Commission has been on, the Council makes all the information considered by the Commission and the Council of Bishops available to the delegates of the General Conference and acknowledges there is support for each of the three plans within the Council. The values of our global church are reflected in all three plans. The majority of the Council recommends the One Church Plan as the best way forward for The United Methodist Church.

### **A Statement of Clarification by the Bishops—May 17, 2018**

The following statement was crafted by the bishops who served on the Commission on a Way Forward and approved by the Executive Committee of the Council of Bishops this week:

We have been asked for a further statement of clarification about the Way Forward and hope that this serves this purpose. We hope it is helpful as many of you lead in episcopal areas.

**The Council of Bishops (COB) has voted by an overwhelming majority to share the work done by the Commission on a Way Forward on the three plans and to recommend the One Church Plan.**

**The One Church Plan will be placed before the General Conference for legislative action.**

**To honor the work of the Commission, and in service to the delegates to the 2019 Special Session of the General Conference, the COB will also provide supplemental materials that include a historical narrative with disciplinary implications related to the Connectional Conference Plan and the Traditionalist Plan. The recommendation adopted by the COB reflects the wide diversity of theological perspectives and the global nature of The United Methodist Church as the best way forward for our future as a denomination.**

## Appendix 3

### A Conversation Within the Council of Bishops: A Traditional Plan

#### Introduction to Appendix 3

In service to the delegates to the 2019 Special Session of the General Conference, the Commission on a Way Forward acknowledges a traditional model was prepared by a few members of the Council of Bishops. It has not received the same due diligence as other plans.

*Important Note:* This plan is not the work of the Commission on a Way Forward. The work of the Commission on a Way Forward on a traditionalist plan is noted in the Commission's report.

#### Traditional Model for a Way Forward as Prepared by a Few Members of the Council of Bishops

The Traditional Model maintains our global United Methodist teaching on human sexuality while providing space for a new form of unity with those who cannot in good conscience uphold our discipline.

#### Summary of the Plan

This model maintains the current stance of the Church regarding the definition of marriage and the ministry of and with LGBTQ persons. It flows from the presupposition that The United Methodist Church ought to have one unified moral stance on the issues of marriage and sexuality. This model continues to affirm that LGBTQ persons are welcome to attend worship services, participate in church programs, receive the sacraments, upon baptism be admitted as baptized members, and upon taking vows of membership become members of local churches.

At the same time, the Traditional Model acknowledges the deep conscientious objections on the part of some to the current stance and practices of the Church. It accommodates those objections by fostering a gracious and respectful way for those persons who cannot live within the current boundaries of church practice to form or join self-governing bodies that allow them the freedom to follow their conscience and institute practices in keeping with their understanding of Scripture, tradition, reason, and experience. Such a self-governing body could constitute a Wesleyan denomination that could maintain an ongoing connection with The United Methodist Church through a Concordat Agreement (§ 574).

Various changes are proposed to increase the accountability of bishops and conferences for upholding and enforcing the discipline of the Church.

Contextual differentiation is achieved through provisions allowing freedom and flexibility in a new connective status for those requiring greater autonomy from United Methodist requirements. This plan is an authentic expression of the instruction given to the Commission on a Way Forward by the Council of Bishops in the *Mission, Vision, and Scope* document to design “new ways of being in relationship across cultures and jurisdictions, in understandings of episcopacy, in contextual definitions of autonomy for annual conferences, and in the design and purpose of the apportionment.” The model fulfills the Commission's mission of “an openness to developing new relationships with each other.” It honors the Commission's vision for an approach “that allows for as much contextual differentiation as possible, and that balances an approach to different theological understandings of human sexuality with a desire for as much unity as possible.” This model respects different theological understandings by giving each a space in which to do authentic ministry without harming the ministry of those with whom they disagree. It proposes “‘new forms and structures’ of relationship” that give “greater freedom and flexibility to a future United Methodist Church that will redefine our present connectionality.”

#### Theological and Biblical Foundations

The Traditional Model takes seriously the need for greater contextualization of our ministry. It provides clarity and freedom for different parts of our movement to embody our different theological emphases and values on the important questions of marriage and sexual behavior. Given that the human sexuality disagreement is one of the most significant in American culture today, it is appropriate for there to be two different Wesleyan bodies who teach differently on the question of Christian marriage between same-gender persons

The unity of Christ's church has, for the last 1,000 years, taken different forms. There are different types of unity and the Wesleyan movement itself is expressed in a variety of denominations many of which overlap geographically. We should see the formation of a new Wesleyan denomination as an opportunity for a different type of unity created for the sake of mission.

## Our Mission

The Traditional Model provides freedom for progressive pastors, churches, and conferences to evangelize persons who they believe would best be reached by a form of Methodism that is fully inclusive of all sexual orientations and gender identities. At the same time, it provides assurances that traditional United Methodists can continue to make disciples among people who value traditional teaching on marriage and sexual behavior.

### The Effects of the Traditional Model on Each Segment of The United Methodist Church

#### Local Churches

Local churches would not be required to vote. Most would likely make no changes in practice at the local level. If their annual conference chooses to remain United Methodist and a local church wishes to join a new self-governing church, it could vote by simple majority to do so. Doing so would allow them to keep their property, assets, and liabilities in exchange for a payment to the annual conference of their fair share of the conference's unfunded pension liability. No other payment would be required.

Local churches located in an annual conference that votes to establish or join a self-governing church would not be required to vote if they agree with their annual conference decision. Local churches that would prefer to remain United Methodist and continue to abide by the current *Discipline* could choose to do so by a simple majority vote of their church conference. In such cases, they would also need to pay their annual conference their fair share of the conference's unfunded pension liability, since that liability remains with the annual conference.

#### Clergy

Clergy would continue to be subject to the *Discipline* of the Church as they agreed in their ordination vows. Those who could not do so would be encouraged to join a self-governing Methodist church that accommodates their views. Clergy doing so would retain their pension accumulations up to the point of departure. Clergy who remain United Methodist and violate the standards of the church would be subject to the complaint process, as revised by the Traditional Model.

#### Annual Conferences

Annual conferences will need to decide if they can fully abide by United Methodist discipline in matters of

human sexuality. Those that can do so will indicate that by their vote. Those that cannot in good conscience follow The United Methodist Church's *Discipline* will form or join a self-governing church that gives them the freedom to perform same-gender marriages and ordain self-avowed practicing LGBTQ persons.

#### Jurisdictions and Central Conferences

Jurisdictions and central conferences would be unchanged unless annual conferences chose to leave The United Methodist Church to form a self-governing church. If some annual conferences leave, boundaries can be adjusted. Bishops would need to conform to The United Methodist Church's *Discipline*.

#### General Boards and Agencies

All general boards and agencies remain the same in the Traditional Model. If a group of annual conferences form a new denomination, cooperation such as exists today can be extended to the new body as well. The cooperation evidenced in the work of the General Board of Global Ministries with affiliated autonomous churches and the Pan-Methodist denominations are models that can be expanded.

#### Institutions

Institutions can maintain affiliation with multiple denominations as they do now.

#### The Council of Bishops

The Council of Bishops would remain unchanged except that the newly enacted provisions for its Council Relations Committee and related disciplinary process would be used if needed.

#### Global Church

All provisions of the Traditional Model treat all annual conferences in equal ways.

#### Financial and Pension Implications

This plan has few financial and pension implications. If churches choose to leave The United Methodist Church, there would be implications to long-term pension liability. If annual conferences leave they would need to remain legally responsible for their pension liabilities, as a plan sponsor, in a manner sequestered from the remainder of the clergy pension plan. If apportionment support for annual conference and General Conference funds decline, the annual conference and General Conference would

have to respond to that reduction. This response is in line with what annual and General Conferences are already doing in the area of sustainability. The expertise needed to project the full impact on pension funds for this or any plan for a way forward properly rests with Wespath and not the Council of Bishops. Appendix 4 to this report provides Wespath's analysis and input.

### **Proposed Implementation Plan for the Traditional Model**

**February 23-26, 2019.** Special Session of the General Conference

**March-May 2019.** Delegations and residential bishops prepare to interpret the decisions of the General Conference at their annual conferences.

**June 2019.** Annual conferences receive reports of the decisions of the Special Session of General Conference, and frameworks for decision-making in the coming year.

**July-August, 2019.** Annual conferences and cabinets design materials and processes for annual conference and local church discernment.

**Fall 2019.** Annual conference lay leaders and clergy begin discernment related to affiliation. This can be a focus of charge conferences.

**January-February 2020.** Annual conference discernment and decision to approve one of two statements in ¶ 2801. Delegations and bishops prepare for 2020 General Conference.

**March-April 2020.** Local churches and clergy discernment and decision to remain in denomination or be in a self-governing body.

**May 2020.** General Conference. There will likely be unfinished or deferred work that flows from 2019 to 2020.

**May-June 2020.** Annual conferences discern their leadership for 2020-2024 based on decisions made in January-February. Residential bishops must approve one of the two statements in ¶ 2801.7.

**July 2020.** Jurisdictional conferences. Election of new bishops, based on previous decisions of annual conferences, local churches, and clergy, and need. Assignment of existing bishops to self-governing conferences, according to need.

**January 1, 2021.** GCFA shall neither receive funds from nor send funds to annual conferences listed under ¶ 2801.3.

### **Names of Commission Members Who Signed the Traditional Plan, as Presented by Some Members of the Council of Bishops**

*Note:* The Commission never took a vote regarding which members of the Commission supported which plan. At various points in the work of the Commission, Commission members did express preferences for plans. Some Commission members could support the Commission's work on every plan while others expressed a preference for one or two of the plans. At the end of our process, in order to place the plans in petition format, Commission members were asked to which plans they were willing to give public support. Several Commission members gave support to more than one plan. For a variety of reasons, some members of the Commission, while giving their support to the Commission's report, chose not to express a preference for any of the plans.

The following Commission Members publicly support the Traditional Plan, as presented by some members of the Council of Bishops: Jorge Acevedo, Hortense Aka, Helen Cunanan, Jessica LaGrone, Tom Lambrecht, Mazvita Machinga, Pat Miller, Eben Nihwatiwa, and John Wesley Yohanna.

### **Disciplinary Changes for this Model**

*Note:* The following disciplinary changes will be submitted as legislative petitions. They are included here for informational purposes.

1. Amend by addition footnote 1 attached to ¶ 304.3 (Petition 90032, page 182)
2. Amend by addition ¶ 408.3.c (Petition 90033, page 182)
3. Amend by addition ¶ 410.5 (Petition 90034, page 183)
4. Amend by addition ¶ 422 (Petition 90035, page 183)
5. Amend by addition ¶ 415.6 (Petition 90036, page 184)
6. Amend by addition ¶ 635.1.a (Petition 90037, page 185)
7. Amend by addition ¶ 635.2.h (Petition 90038, page 185)
8. Amend ¶ 806.9 at its conclusion (Petition 90039, page 185)
9. Amend ¶ 613.19 at its conclusion (Petition 90040, page 185)
10. Add a new ¶ 2801 (Petition 90041, page 186)

11. Amend ¶ 2711.3, Penalties (Petition 90042, page 190)
12. Amend ¶ 304.5 to prohibit district committees on ordained ministry and boards of ordained ministry from recommending an unqualified candidate to the clergy session. (Petition 90043, page 191)
13. Amend the complaint process to limit a bishop's ability to dismiss a complaint only to those complaints "having no basis in law or fact" (¶¶ 362.1e, 413.3d). (Petition 90044, page 191)
14. Amend Just Resolution to include a commitment not to repeat the offense (¶¶ 362.1, 413.3c, 2701.5, 2706.5.c.3). (Petition 90045, page 192)
15. Amend the just resolution process to mandate the inclusion of the complainant (¶¶ 362.1c, 413.3c, 2701.5, 2706.5.c.3). (Petition 90046, page 193)
16. Amend ¶ 2715.10 Appeal Procedures (Petition 90047, page 194)
17. Amend ¶¶ 570 and 574.1 to create the option of concordat churches in the United States. The changes in these paragraphs shall take effect immediately upon the adjournment of the 2019 General Conference. (Petition 90048, page 194)

# Informationen (2)

## Der Traditional Plan

### Teil 2

### Anträge und Änderungen

Seite 13-27

Der gesamte Beschlusstext ist an den internationalen Rechtshof der EmK verwiesen zur Überprüfung aller Beschlüsse auf Vereinbarkeit mit der Verfassung der EmK.

**Grün:** vermutlich mit der Verfassung vereinbar

**Rot:** vermutlich mit der Verfassung nicht vereinbar

the annual conference, and delegates shall be elected by a minimum of a simple majority of the ballots cast. The persons first elected up to the number determined by the ratio for representation in the General Conference shall be representatives in that body. Additional delegates shall be elected to complete the number determined by the ratio for representation in the ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference, who, together with those first elected as above, shall be delegates in the ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference. The additional delegates to the ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference shall in the order of their election be the reserve delegates to the General Conference. The annual conference shall also elect reserve clergy and lay delegates to the ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference as it may deem desirable. These reserve clergy and lay delegates to the ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conferences may act as reserve delegates to the General Conference when it is evident that not enough reserve delegates are in attendance at the General Conference.

¶ 35. Article IV.—The clergy delegates to the General Conference and to the ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference shall be elected from the clergy members . . .

¶ 36. Article V.—The lay delegates to the General and ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conferences shall be elected by the lay members of the annual conference or provisional annual conference without regard to age, provided such delegates shall have been professing members of The United Methodist Church for at least two years next preceding their election, and shall have been active participants in The United Methodist Church for at least four years next preceding their election, and are members thereof within the annual conference electing them at the time of holding the General and ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conferences.

¶ 56. 1. To determine the constitutionality of any act of the General Conference upon an appeal of a majority of the Council of Bishops or one-fifth of the members of the General Conference and to determine the constitutionality of any act of a ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference upon an appeal of a majority of the bishops of that ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference or upon the appeal of one-fifth of the members of that ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference.

¶ 56. 4. To hear and determine the legality of any action taken therein by any General Conference board or ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference board or body, upon appeal by one-third of the members thereof, or upon request of the Council of Bishops or a majority of the bishops of a ~~jurisdictional or a central~~ connectional conference.

¶ 61. Article III.—A ~~jurisdictional or central~~ connectional conference may by a majority vote propose changes in the Constitution of the Church . . .

#### Rationale:

This amendment changes the names “jurisdiction,” “jurisdictional conference,” or “central conference” to “connectional conference,” and the phrase “of the central conferences” to “outside the United States” in order to create consistency of names throughout the Constitution.

#### ¶304.3.

Petition Number: 90032-¶304.3-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

#### **CoWF - Traditional Plan #1 - Footnote 1 Qualifications for Ministry - Par. 304.3**

Amend by addition footnote 1 attached to ¶ 304.3:

“*Self-avowed practicing homosexual*” is understood to mean that a person openly acknowledges to a bishop, district superintendent, district committee of ordained ministry, Board of Ordained Ministry, or clergy session that the person is a practicing homosexual; or is living in a same-sex marriage, domestic partnership or civil union, or is a person who publicly states she or he is a practicing homosexual. See Judicial Council Decisions 702, 708, 722, 725, 764, 844, 984, 1020, 1341.

#### Rationale:

The current wording has sometimes allowed practicing homosexuals to serve, even while being public about their practice, defeating the purpose of the requirement in ¶ 304.3. The added language identifies other means by which an individual openly acknowledges that they are engaged in the practice of homosexuality and can be. . .

#### ¶408.3.

Petition Number: 90033-¶408.3-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

#### **CoWF - Traditional Plan #2 - Episcopal Accountability - Par. 408.3**

Amend by addition ¶ 408.3.c:

By a majority vote of those present and voting, the members of the Council of Bishops may place any bishop in the retired relation with or without their consent and irrespective of their age if such a relation is recommend-

ed by the council relations committee. The procedures for fair process in administrative hearings shall be followed in any involuntary retirement procedure (§ 422.5). Written notice also should be given to the chairperson of the administrative review committee (§ 422.6).

**Rationale:**

Additions to §§ 408, 410, and 422 go together and create a process by which the Council of Bishops may hold one another accountable, based on the constitutional amendment to § 50 that was ratified in 2017. This proposal includes assurances of Fair Process and enables greater consistency and uniformity. . .

**§410.**

Petition Number: 90034-§410-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

**CoWF - Traditional Plan #3 - Episcopal  
Accountability - Par. 410**

Amend by addition § 410.5:

§ 410.5 Involuntary Leave of Absence—a) By a majority vote of those present and voting, the members of the Council of Bishops may place any bishop in an involuntary leave status if such a relation is recommended by the council relations committee. The procedures for fair process in administrative hearings shall be followed in any involuntary leave of absence procedure (§ 422.5). Written notice also should be given to the chairperson of the administrative review committee (§ 422.6).

b) Involuntary leave of absence shall be approved annually by the Council of Bishops after review and recommendation of the council relations committee.

c) During the period for which the leave is granted, the bishop shall be released from all episcopal responsibilities, and another bishop chosen by the College of Bishops shall preside in the episcopal area. Salary and other benefits may be continued through the Episcopal Fund for a maximum of six months.

**Rationale:**

Additions to §§ 408, 410, and 422 go together and create a process by which the Council of Bishops may hold one another accountable, based on the constitutional amendment to § 50 that was ratified in 2017. This proposal includes assurances of Fair Process and enables greater consistency and uniformity. . .

**§422.**

Petition Number: 90035-§422-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

**CoWF - Traditional Plan #4 - Episcopal  
Accountability - Par. 422**

Amend by addition § 422:

§ 422.2. The Council of Bishops is thus the collegial and corporate expression of episcopal leadership in the Church and through the Church into the world. The Church expects the Council of Bishops to speak to the Church and from the Church to the world and to give leadership in the quest for Christian unity and interreligious relationships. The Council of Bishops is also a body in which its individual members are held accountable for their work, both as general superintendents and as presidents and residents in episcopal areas.

§ 422.5. The Council of Bishops shall establish from its membership a council relations committee of at least three persons to hear requests for involuntary leave of absence, involuntary retirement, as may be referred to it by the Council of Bishops or any seven active bishops.

a) When there is a recommendation for an involuntary status change to be referred to the council relations committee, the council relations committee shall conduct an administrative hearing following the provisions of fair process. The Council of Bishops shall designate the person to present the recommendation to the committee. The respondent shall be given an opportunity to address the recommendation in person, in writing, and with the assistance of a clergy person in full connection, who shall have voice. Once the committee has heard the person designated to represent the recommendation, the respondent, and others as determined by the chairperson of the committee, it shall report its decision to the Council of Bishops. The Council of Bishops may affirm or reverse the decision of the committee. The Council of Bishops shall refer to the council relations committee any bishop who is unwilling to certify that he or she is willing to uphold, enforce, and maintain the *Book of Discipline* relative to self-avowed practicing homosexuals. When the council relations committee reaches a positive finding of fact that the bishop has not so certified, the council relations committee shall recommend either involuntary leave or involuntary retirement to the Council of Bishops after conducting a fair process hearing.

b) Fair Process Hearings--As a part of the holy covenant that exists within the membership and organization of The United Methodist Church, the following procedures are presented for the protection of the rights of individuals and for the protection of the Church in administrative

headings. The process set forth in this paragraph shall be followed whenever the council relations committee meets to process an administrative request by the Council of Bishops.

1) In any administrative proceeding the representative of the Council of Bishops and the respondent (the person against whom involuntary action is directed) shall have a right to be heard before any final action is taken.

2) Notice of any hearing shall advise the respondent of the reason for the proposed procedures with sufficient detail to allow the respondent to prepare a response. Notice shall be given not less than twenty days prior to the hearing.

3) The respondent shall have a right to be accompanied to any hearing by a clergyperson in full connection, in accordance with the appropriate disciplinary provisions. The clergyperson accompanying the respondent shall have the right to voice.

4) In any administrative hearing, under no circumstances shall one party, in the absence of the other party, discuss substantive issues with members of the pending hearing body. Questions of procedure may be raised with the presiding officer of the hearing body.

5) The respondent shall have access, at least seven days prior to the hearing, to all records relied upon in the determination of the outcome of the administrative process.

6) In the event that a respondent fails to appear for supervisory interviews, refuses mail, refuses to communicate personally with the bishop, or otherwise fails to respond to supervisory requests or requests from official administrative committees, such actions or inactions shall not be used as an excuse to avoid or delay any Church processes, and such processes may continue without the participation of such individual.

¶ 422.6 The Council of Bishops shall establish from its membership an administrative review committee of at least three persons who are not members of the executive committee or the council relations committee. Its only purpose shall be to ensure that the disciplinary procedures for any involuntary action recommended by the council relations committee are properly followed. The entire administrative process leading to the action for change of status of the bishop shall be reviewed by the administrative review committee, and it shall report its findings to the Council of Bishops prior to any action by the Council of Bishops. The administrative review committee shall notify the parties of the review process. The administrative fair process hearing procedures (¶ 422.5) should be followed by the administrative review committee. Prior to its report, if the committee determines that any error has occurred, it may recommend to the appro-

priate person or body that action be taken promptly to remedy the error, decide the error is harmless, or take other action.

**Rationale:**

Additions to ¶¶ 408, 410, and 422 go together and create a process by which the Council of Bishops may hold one another accountable, based on the constitutional amendment to ¶ 50 that was ratified in 2017. This proposal includes assurances of Fair Process and enables greater consistency and uniformity . . .

**¶415.6.**

Petition Number: 90036-¶415.6-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

**CoWF - Traditional Plan #5 - Episcopal Responsibilities - Par. 415.6**

Amend by addition ¶ 415.6:

To consecrate bishops; to ordain elders and deacons; to commission deaconesses, home missionaries, and missionaries; and to see that the names of the persons commissioned and consecrated are entered on the journals of the conference and that proper credentials are furnished to these persons. Bishops are prohibited from consecrating bishops who are self-avowed homosexuals, even if they have been duly elected by the jurisdictional or central conference. Bishops are prohibited from commissioning those on the deacon or elder track if the Board of Ministry has determined the individual is a self-avowed homosexual or has failed to certify it carried out the disciplinarily mandated examination, even if the individual has been recommended by the Board of Ordained Ministry and approved by the clergy session of the annual conference. Bishops are prohibited from ordaining deacons or elders if the Board of Ministry has determined the individual is a self-avowed homosexual or has failed to certify it carried out the disciplinarily mandated examination, even if the individual has been recommended by the Board of Ordained Ministry and approved by the clergy session of the annual conference.

**Rationale:**

Clarifies that bishops are not allowed to consecrate, ordain, or commission persons who are not qualified under ¶ 304.3, even if they are elected or approved by the relevant jurisdictional conference or clergy session. This enhances the bishop's role in upholding the *Discipline* and makes him/her individually responsible to . . .

**¶635.1a.**

Petition Number: 90037-¶635.1a-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

**CoWF - Traditional Plan #6 - Composition of Board of Ordained Ministry - Par. 635.1a**

Amend by addition ¶ 635.1.a:

Members shall be nominated by the presiding bishop after consultation with the chairperson of the board, the executive committee, or a committee elected by the board of the previous quadrennium, and with the cabinet. Prior to being nominated for membership on the Board of Ministry by the bishop, any individual must certify that he or she will uphold, enforce, and maintain the *Book of Discipline* related to commissioning, ordination, and marriage of self-avowed practicing homosexuals. Additionally, the bishop must certify that he or she only has nominated individuals who will uphold, enforce, and maintain the *Book of Discipline* related to ordination and marriage of self-avowed practicing homosexuals. To ensure adequate board membership . . . **amended by GC!**

**Rationale:**

Guarding against non-conforming boards of ordained ministry, this provision ensures that members of the board commit to upholding the requirements of the *Discipline* and makes the bishop responsible for nominating only such persons. Permitting boards to not conform to the *Discipline* tears the unity of the church.

**¶635.2h.**

Petition Number: 90038-¶635.2h-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

**CoWF - Traditional Plan #7— Full Examination—Par. 635.2h**

Amend by addition ¶ 635.2.h:

To examine all applicants as to their fitness for the ordained ministry and make full inquiry as to the fitness of the candidate for: (1) annual election as local pastor; (2) election to associate membership; (3) election to provisional membership; and (4) election to full conference membership. The Board of Ministry shall conduct an examination to ascertain whether an individual is a practicing homosexual, including information on social media, as defined by the *Book of Discipline*. The board shall certify that such an examination has occurred and its results. If it is determined as a matter of fact that an individual is

a practicing homosexual, the board shall not recommend the individual to the clergy session of the annual conference for commissioning or ordination.

**Rationale:**

Incorporates Judicial Council Decisions 1343, 1344, and 1352 in the *Discipline*, requiring boards of ordained ministry to fully examine candidates' qualifications under ¶ 304.3. Guarding against non-conforming boards of ordained ministry, this forbids boards from recommending persons found to be unqualified under that paragraph to the clergy session.

**¶806.9.**

Petition Number: 90039-¶806.9-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

**CoWF - Traditional Plan #8 - Composition of Board of Ordained Ministry - Par. 806.9**

Amend ¶ 806.9 at its conclusion to state:

Every annual conference shall certify that the bishop has nominated only members of the Board of Ministry who will uphold, enforce, and maintain the *Book of Discipline* related to ordination and marriage of practicing homosexuals. Failure to do so shall result in the General Council on Finance and Administration withholding all funds from The United Methodist Church and withdrawing the annual conference's ability to use the denominational cross and flame logo.

**Rationale:**

Guarding against non-conforming boards of ordained ministry, this provides that the annual conference ensures that the bishop has complied with the requirement to nominate only persons who are committed to upholding the *Discipline* to the board of ordained ministry, adding accountability for the annual conference as well.

**¶613.19.**

Petition Number: 90040-¶613.19-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

**CoWF - Traditional Plan #9 - Composition of Board of Ordained Ministry - Par. 613.19**

Amend ¶ 613.19 at its conclusion to state:

Every annual conference shall certify that the bishop has nominated only members of the Board of Minis-

try who will uphold, enforce, and maintain the *Book of Discipline* related to ordination and marriage of practicing homosexuals. Failure to do so shall result in the General Council on Finance and Administration withholding all funds from The United Methodist Church and withdrawing the annual conference's ability to use the denominational cross and flame logo.

#### Rationale:

Guarding against non-conforming boards of ordained ministry, this provides that the annual conference ensures that the bishop has complied with the requirement to nominate only persons who are committed to upholding the *Discipline* to the board of ordained ministry, adding accountability for the annual conference as well.

#### ¶2800.

Petition Number: 90041-¶2800-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

#### **CoWF - Traditional Plan #10 - Traditional Plan Implementation Process - NEW Par. 2801**

Add a new ¶ 2801

##### ¶ 2801. *Implementing Gracious Accountability*

Because of the current deep conflict over The United Methodist Church's position on marriage and sexuality, a local church or annual conference may indicate its desire to form or join a self-governing church under this paragraph based upon its declaration that it is in irreconcilable conflict for reasons of conscience with the doctrine or moral teachings and requirements of *The Book of Discipline of The United Methodist Church* on the issues of human sexuality, or with the way such requirements are being enforced, or with the resolution of those matters adopted by the 2019 General Conference.

1. Before March 31, 2020, each **annual conference** shall vote to approve one of the following two statements:

a. "The annual conference and its subsidiary units will support, uphold, and maintain accountability to the United Methodist standards found in ¶ 304.3 'Qualifications for Ordination,' ¶ 341.6 'Unauthorized Conduct,' ¶ 613.19 'Responsibilities of the Council on Finance and Administration,' and ¶ 2702.1a-b 'Chargeable Offenses' of *The Book of Discipline of The United Methodist Church, 2016* in their entirety."

b. "The annual conference and its subsidiary units will not support, uphold, and maintain accountability to the standards of The United Methodist Church found in ¶ 304.3 'Qualifications for Ordination,' ¶ 341.6 'Unautho-

rized Conduct,' ¶ 613.19 'Responsibilities of the Council on Finance and Administration,' and ¶ 2702.1a-b 'Chargeable Offenses' of *The Book of Discipline of The United Methodist Church, 2016* in their entirety."

2. In extreme cases in which an annual conference outside of the United States is unable, due to extraordinary circumstances, to take a vote by this deadline, its resident bishop may request a one-time, one-year extension of this deadline, which would also be a one-year extension of the deadlines in ¶ 2801.3-4 below, to be granted by the General Council on Finance and Administration. Such requests for extensions must be submitted by April 1, 2020.

3. The General Council on Finance and Administration shall canvass the votes of each annual conference. Those returning a majority vote for the second option, failing to respond, unclear in their response, or qualifying their commitment to the first option in any way shall be placed on a list of conferences ideally suited for the self-governing status. This list shall be made available to the Council of Bishops and released to the public no later than May 1, 2020.

4. Beginning January 1, 2021, the General Council on Finance and Administration shall neither receive funds from nor send funds to the annual conferences listed under ¶ 2801.3 except as a self-governing Methodist church formed under this paragraph. The General Council on Finance and Administration shall also prohibit the use of the United Methodist name and insignia by these annual conferences except under the terms of a concordat agreement.

Allegations that an annual conference has not fulfilled its commitment under ¶ 2801.1a shall be addressed to the Council of Bishops council relations committee as evidence of possible misconduct by the conference's residential bishop.

5. Annual conferences who are not placed on the list in ¶ 2801.3 may nonetheless vote to enter the self-governing status.

6. The General Council on Finance and Administration shall offer and facilitate a time line allowing annual conferences moving into the self-governing status to continue uninterrupted financial participation in the connective ministries of The United Methodist Church under the terms of this paragraph and may grant a one-time delay to the restrictions in ¶ 2801.4 of up to twelve months upon request by an annual conference that has voted to enter the self-governing status to allow for the transition.

7. By June 30, 2020, each **bishop** of The United Methodist Church shall return one of the following two statements to the president of the Council of Bishops and the General Council on Finance and Administration:

a. I, (*Name*), certify that I will uphold United Methodist standards on marriage and sexuality in their entirety

(¶ 414.5). I will enforce the requirements of the *Book of Discipline* forbidding same-sex weddings and the ordination of self-avowed practicing homosexuals (¶¶ 304.3, 341.6, 2702.1a-b, 414.9). I will further hold all those under my supervision accountable to those standards (¶¶ 415.2, 613.19).

b. I, (*Name*), certify that for reasons of conscience, I cannot uphold United Methodist standards on marriage and sexuality in their entirety (¶ 414.5). I am unwilling or unable to enforce the requirements of the *Book of Discipline* forbidding same-sex weddings and the ordination of self-avowed practicing homosexuals (¶¶ 304.3, 341.6, 2702.1a-b, 414.9), or to hold all those under my supervision accountable to those standards (¶¶ 415.2, 613.19).

Bishops who submit the second option (in whole or in part), fail to respond, are unclear in their response, or qualify their commitment to the first option in any way shall be subject to review by the Council of Bishops' council relations committee for possible action.

Complaints against bishops who are alleged to have not fulfilled their commitment under ¶ 2801.7a above, or who are alleged to have committed one of the chargeable offenses under ¶ 2702.1a-b, shall be automatically and immediately forwarded to the Council of Bishops council relations committee, which shall administer the complaint.

8. **Clergy** who find themselves for reasons of conscience unable to live within the boundaries of ¶¶ 304.3, 341.6, 613.19, and 2702.1a-b are encouraged to transfer to a self-governing church formed under this paragraph. Clergy who remain United Methodist but do not maintain their conduct within the boundaries established by the *Book of Discipline* shall be subject to chargeable offenses.

**9. Annual conferences becoming self-governing Methodist churches.**

a. Any annual conference may become a self-governing church or join an existing one when that annual conference votes by simple majority to seek this status under the terms of this paragraph. The annual conference shall notify the president of its College of Bishops of its decision at least 30 days before a regular or specially called session of its jurisdictional or central conference. The jurisdictional or central conference shall reallocate the territory of the former annual conference among the remaining annual conferences or create a new annual conference. It shall be the new self-governing church's own responsibility to develop its *Book of Discipline* and establish itself as a legal entity. The existence of the self-governing church shall be effective upon the adjournment of the jurisdictional or central conference or upon the effective date established by the annual conference in its request, whichever is later. An annual conference shall not consider a switch in its af-

filiation within less than four years from a previous annual conference vote on the question.

b. If a U.S. annual conference ceases to be a part of The United Methodist Church through becoming a self-governing Methodist church, or otherwise, it should contribute to the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits any amount necessary to fully fund its obligations under the Clergy Retirement Security Program. The former annual conference's sponsorship of and legal responsibilities under the Clergy Retirement Security Program will continue as a self-governing Methodist church. However, accruals and benefit improvements under the Clergy Retirement Security Program shall cease. The sponsorship and administration of the Clergy Retirement Security Program for the self-governing Methodist church will be sequestered and treated separately from all other annual conferences that remain part of The United Methodist Church. For purposes of administering the Clergy Retirement Security Program in this manner, the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits is authorized and empowered to:

(1) Identify which of the self-governing Methodist church's (former annual conference's) plan participants have chosen to remain with The United Methodist Church, and those who are members of the former annual conference (whether the former annual conference becomes a self-governing Methodist church or otherwise disaffiliates) based on records of service and membership of the annual conferences; non-clergy participants, e.g., surviving spouses, contingent annuitants, and alternate payees, will be deemed to remain associated with The United Methodist Church;

(2) Calculate and sequester the former annual conference's share of defined benefit plan assets and liabilities for all participants of the former annual conference using factors corresponding to those used when determining annual conference plan sponsor contributions to the Clergy Retirement Security Program; and to the extent and at any time that certain participants, whether active or inactive, become the responsibility of The United Methodist Church, retain for The United Methodist Church from such calculated share an amount necessary to fully fund the liabilities of such participants using factors similar to a commercial annuity provider;

(3) Calculate the amount of any plan contributions required to be made by the former annual conference in the future, as part of the self-governing Methodist church's ongoing sponsorship of its separate frozen part of the Clergy Retirement Security Program;

(4) Collaborate with the self-governing Methodist church (former annual conference) to determine how plan design changes may be requested by the self-governing

Methodist church, and the scope and administrative reasonableness of amendments that may be made to the separate frozen part of the Clergy Retirement Security Program; and

(5) Amend the Clergy Retirement Security Program effective as of the close of the 2019 General Conference to conform with this paragraph of the *Book of Discipline*.

If the self-governing Methodist church (former annual conference) does not satisfy any required ongoing contributions within a reasonable time under this paragraph or the terms of the Clergy Retirement Security Program, as determined by the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits, or the self-governing Methodist church elects not to, refuses to, or fails to satisfy its legal sponsorship of the Clergy Retirement Security Program, the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits shall convert all accrued pension benefits of the self-governing Methodist church's (former annual conference's) assigned participants to an actuarially equivalent account balance, adjusted, as necessary, to take into account the former annual conference's funding level of the Clergy Retirement Security Program. Such account balances, and all other retirement account balances, will be transferred to the United Methodist Personal Investment Plan. The General Board of Pension and Health Benefits may also take such actions if the Clergy Retirement Security Program is amended to require an account balance conversion for similar classes of participants, or if continuing administration for the self-governing Methodist church is no longer administratively reasonable.

c. Institutions owned by or affiliated with the annual conference shall continue that relationship unless, under their own bylaws and in conjunction with the annual conference, they establish a change of affiliation.

d. The newly created body shall continue under the supervision of the College of Bishops of the jurisdiction until supervisory leadership is in place under its constitution.

e. Any local church or charge of the annual conference becoming or joining a self-governing church shall have the right to remain in the existing jurisdiction of The United Methodist Church by a simple majority vote of a church conference before or during the first two years of the self-governing church's existence and shall have the right to hold a church conference to vote on this matter within no more than 120 days of notifying the relevant leadership of the annual conference or of the self-governing church. After the first two years, release of a congregation from a self-governing church shall be according to that church's governing documents. Supervision of the congregation shall transfer to the cabinet of the annual conference assigned to cover their location by the juris-

ditional or central conference upon written acknowledgment to the self-governing body by the receiving cabinet.

Local churches electing to remain United Methodist by exiting an annual conference that is forming or joining a self-governing Methodist church shall not owe any payment to the annual conference they are departing, except for the local church's proportional share of the annual conference's aggregate unfunded pension liability, as determined by the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits. The General Board of Pension and Health Benefits shall determine the aggregate funding obligations of the annual conference using market factors similar to a commercial annuity provider, from which the annual conference will determine the local church's share. The local church payment of pension liabilities shall be made prior to the effective date of exit.

f. Clergy members of the departing annual conference shall by default remain members of that annual conference unless they request to remain in The United Methodist Church. They shall communicate such a request before or within 90 days after the annual conference exit effective date to the bishop of the departing annual conference and to the jurisdictional or central College of Bishops, but may, at the discretion of the bishop of the departing annual conference, continue serving the current appointment for up to one year from the date of such request until a suitable appointment is found. The United Methodist jurisdictional or central College of Bishops shall work together to provide an appointment for any clergy member subject to security of appointment (and may do so for clergy members not subject to security of appointment) in the area of his/her former annual conference or in another annual conference in the jurisdiction, unless the clergy member agrees to transfer to an annual conference out of the jurisdiction.

**10. *Groups of local churches forming new self-governing Methodist churches in the United States.*** Any fifty or more United Methodist local churches may form a self-governing Methodist church by the following procedure:

a. Those organizing the self-governing church shall develop a vision and mission statement describing the nature and work of the body.

b. Local churches seeking to form the self-governing church shall vote to do so by a 55 percent majority vote of a church conference. Such vote shall indicate the desire of the congregation to join the forming group, but the congregation remains part of The United Methodist Church until the self-governing church becomes established and the other provisions for exit under this paragraph are satisfied. The bishop and district superintendent shall facilitate the change of affiliation and shall preside over a church

conference within 120 days when requested by the local church's pastor or church council (§§ 246, 248). A local church shall not consider a switch in its affiliation within less than four years from a previous church conference vote on the question.

c. The organizers shall select a United Methodist College of Bishops to supervise the church until such time as supervisory leadership is in place under its own constitution. If no selection is made, the College of Bishops supervising the territory where most of the local churches are located shall provide supervision. Notice shall be given to the president of the College of Bishops and the College shall include the church in its plan of oversight. The bishop placed in charge of the group of congregations shall have the right to assemble a transitional cabinet should the bishop deem that necessary.

d. Once a group of fifty or more congregations has been formed under § 2801.10b, an organizing conference shall be held, at which time the Constitution, *Book of Discipline*, and provisional leadership for the newly organized church shall be approved. It shall be the new church's own responsibility to develop its *Book of Discipline* and establish itself as a legal entity. Existence of a self-governing church shall become effective at the adjournment of the organizing conference or upon an effective date established by that conference, provided that all the provisions for exit under this paragraph are satisfied.

e. The local church shall retain all property, assets, and liabilities, except as provided under § 2801.12. On the effective date the provisions of the trust clause (§ 2501) shall be released to the new church, and its provisions administered according to the governing documents of the new church. Congregations leaving United Methodist annual conferences shall not have claim or be entitled to a share of the assets or non-pension liabilities of the annual conference they are exiting.

11. ***Local churches seeking to join any existing self-governing church*** formed under this paragraph shall be granted a change of affiliation upon a 55 percent majority vote of a church conference. The bishop and district superintendent shall facilitate the change of affiliation and shall preside over a church conference within 120 days when requested by the congregation's pastor or church council (§§ 246, 248). Such a request to join an existing self-governing church shall also require the approval of the receiving self-governing church by whatever means are provided in its governing documents. A local church shall not consider a switch in its affiliation within less than four years from a previous church conference vote on the question.

Transfer of the affiliation of a local church under § 2801.11 shall be effective upon written acknowledgment

to the cabinet of the annual conference from the supervisory leadership of the receiving church that the transfer to them is accepted. On the effective date of the congregation's joining a self-governing church, the provisions of the trust clause (§ 2501) shall be released to the self-governing church and its provisions administered according to the governing documents of the new church. The local church shall retain all property, assets, and liabilities, except as provided under § 2801.12. Congregations leaving United Methodist annual conferences shall not have claim or be entitled to a share of the assets or non-pension liabilities of the annual conference they are exiting.

12. ***Conditions.*** A local church electing to join a new or existing self-governing church through the provision of § 2801.10-11 shall enter into a written agreement with the bishop setting an effective date and resolving any financial obligations to The United Methodist Church.

a. On the effective date, the local church shall assume all liabilities, and the local church (and any of its affiliates) shall cease participation in and cease to be eligible to participate in all programs and operations of The United Methodist Church, except as provided in § 2801.19. The local church shall immediately remove all references to the words "United Methodist" as well as all United Methodist symbols and insignia, except as provided in § 2801.15, although it may continue to use the word "Methodist." The local church shall retain all its property, assets, and liabilities not specifically accounted for in the agreement. Such agreement shall be in writing and signed and approved by the duly qualified and authorized representatives of the annual conference and the local church.

b. Local churches electing to join a new or existing self-governing Methodist church through the provisions of § 2801.10-11 shall not owe any payment to the annual conference they are departing, except for the local church's proportional share of the annual conference's aggregate unfunded pension liability, as determined by the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits. The Board shall determine the aggregate funding obligations of the annual conference using market factors similar to a commercial annuity provider, from which the annual conference will determine the local church's share. The local church payment of pension liabilities shall be made prior to the effective date of exit.

c. Upon receipt of any payment required and fulfillment of all other provisions of this paragraph, the district superintendent shall deliver a signed letter waiving all rights the conference trustees or annual conference may have in the local church's real estate or other property and assets, as of the exit effective date.

13. ***Annual conferences or local churches outside the United States*** may join a self-governing Method-

ist church formed under this paragraph under the same terms as are spelled out in this paragraph. In such case, the self-governing church becomes a global body.

14. When one or more annual conferences vote to become a self-governing body after the adjournment of the jurisdictional or central conference, the jurisdictional or central College of Bishops shall call a special jurisdictional or central conference within 180 days of being notified of the annual conference's action. The jurisdictional or central conference shall adjust its annual conference boundaries at the special conference as necessary to provide coverage for the geographic territory of the withdrawing annual conference(s). The Interjurisdictional Committee on Episcopacy (§ 512) shall monitor the changing landscape of United States annual conferences and bring recommendation to General Conference related to reorganization of the jurisdictional conferences that may be warranted based on the effects of this paragraph.

15. Self-governing Methodist churches formed under this paragraph may use an *insignia or logo* that utilizes a form of the United Methodist cross and flame, so long as such logo is noticeably distinguishable from the United Methodist cross and flame. Any self-governing church logo that utilizes the cross and flame shall be subject to the approval of the General Council on Finance and Administration, which shall make its decision in such a way as to protect the United Methodist brand while acknowledging the historical connection of the self-governing church with United Methodism.

16. Other disciplinary provisions notwithstanding, a *clergy member* (active or retired) may transfer to any self-governing Methodist church formed under this paragraph upon the clergy member's request and upon acceptance by the self-governing church. Release by the United Methodist bishop shall not be required for these transfers.

17. Other disciplinary provisions notwithstanding, a United Methodist *bishop* (active or retired) may transfer to a self-governing Methodist church formed under this paragraph upon request by the bishop and approval by the receiving church.

18. *Institutions* related to The United Methodist Church may choose to develop missional ties with self-governing churches, so long as governing control remains vested with The United Methodist Church. If such an institution vests its governing control with a self-governing church or churches, the relationship with The United Methodist Church shall be renegotiated in a spirit of common mission and shared religious bonds and convictions. Such institutions may also request to change their affiliation to a self-governing Methodist church under the provisions of their own bylaws. Institutions related to an annual conference that forms or joins a self-governing

Methodist church may likewise develop missional ties with The United Methodist Church and may petition the United Methodist jurisdiction or successor annual conference to remain in The United Methodist Church through processes established in their bylaws.

19. No self-governing church is required to participate in any programs or services of The United Methodist Church, nor is such official participation permitted without the agreement of the sponsoring United Methodist agency. Each self-governing church may negotiate participation in and receipt of fee-based services from any United Methodist general board or agency, including sponsorship and participation in health, welfare, or retirement plans with the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits. The self-governing church, its annual conferences, and/or local congregations are permitted to engage in or continue partnerships in mission, including financial support, with United Methodist annual conferences, institutions, or local congregations, with the agreement of the United Methodist entity involved and may channel such support and partnership through United Methodist general agencies, such as the General Council on Finance and Administration or General Board of Global Ministries.

20. The self-governing church shall set its own geographic borders, which may overlap those of United Methodist annual conferences and other self-governing churches organized under this paragraph of *The Book of Discipline of The United Methodist Church* and may include territory outside the United States.

21. Self-governing churches may organize themselves together with other self-governing churches under a common *Discipline* or unite to form one self-governing church.

22. The self-governing church shall be fully financially self-supporting, including funding its own bishop(s).

23. The provisions of this paragraph shall take effect immediately upon the adjournment of the 2019 General Conference.

#### **Rationale:**

The heart of the Traditional Plan, this paragraph provides a mechanism for ensuring that annual conferences and bishops will uphold the *Discipline*, while also providing for a gracious exit for those conscience-bound not to do so. Definitively resolving the impasse requires releasing from the church those unwilling to . . .

#### **¶2711.3.**

Petition Number: 90042-¶2711.3-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

### **CoWF - Traditional Plan #11 - Minimum Penalty - Par. 2711.3**

Amend ¶ 2711.3, *Penalties* as follows.

¶ 2711. Power of the Trial Court

3. *Penalties - If the Trial Results in Conviction.* Further testimony may be heard and arguments by counsel presented regarding what the penalty should be. The trial court shall determine the penalty, which shall require a vote of at least seven members. The trial court shall have the power to remove the respondent from professing membership, terminate the conference membership and/or revoke the credentials of conference membership and/or ordination or consecration of the respondent, suspend the respondent from the exercise of the functions of office, or to fix a lesser penalty. Except, where the conviction is for conducting ceremonies which celebrate homosexual unions, or performing same-sex wedding ceremonies under ¶ 2702.1(b) or (d), the trial court does not have the power to and may not fix a penalty less than the following:

a) First (1st) offense – One (1) year’s suspension without pay.

b) Second (2nd) offense – Not less than termination of conference membership and revocation of credentials of licensing, ordination, or consecration.

The penalty fixed by the trial court shall take effect immediately unless otherwise indicated by the trial court.

#### **Rationale:**

Assigning a penalty of less than a suspension for a first offense or less than termination of conference membership and/or revocation of conference membership and ordination or consecration in such circumstances encourages open defiance of the order and discipline of The United Methodist Church.

#### **¶304.5.**

Petition Number: 90043-¶304.5-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

### **CoWF - Traditional Plan #12 - Qualifications for Ministry - Par. 304.5**

Amend ¶ 304.5 as follows:

5. In all votes regarding license, ordination, or conference membership, the requirements set forth herein are minimum requirements. Each person voting is expected to vote prayerfully based on personal judgment of the applicant’s gifts, evidence of God’s grace, and promise of future usefulness for the mission of the Church. The District Committee on Ordained Ministry and the Board of

Ordained Ministry shall not approve or recommend any person for candidacy, licensing, commissioning, or ordination who does not meet the qualifications of ¶ 304.1-3, based on the full examination and thorough inquiry into the person’s fitness by the committee and board (see Judicial Council Decisions 1343 and 1344). The bishop presiding in the clergy session shall rule any such unqualified candidate out of order and not eligible to be acted upon.

#### **Rationale:**

Incorporates Judicial Council Decisions 1343, 1344, and 1352 in the *Discipline*, requiring district committees and boards of ordained ministry to fully examine candidates’ qualifications under ¶ 304.3. Guarding against non-conforming committees and boards of ordained ministry, this forbids them from approving or recommending persons found to be unqualified . . .

#### **¶362.1e.**

Petition Number: 90044-¶362.1e-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

### **CoWF - Traditional Plan #13 - Complaint Process - Par. 362.1e**

Amend the complaint process ¶ 362.1e and ¶ 413.3d as follows:

¶ 362.1 e) *Referral or Dismissal of a Complaint*— Upon receiving a written and signed complaint, the bishop shall, within 90 days, carry out the supervisory response process outlined above. If within 90 days after the receipt of the complaint resolution is not achieved, the bishop shall either:

(1) Dismiss the complaint as having no basis in law or fact, with the consent of the cabinet, giving the reasons therefore in writing, copies of which shall be placed in the clergyperson’s file and shared with the complainant; or

(2) Refer the matter to the counsel for the Church as a complaint.

¶ 413.3 d) (i) If the supervisory response results in the resolution of the matter, the bishop in charge of the supervisory response and the two episcopacy committee members appointed to the supervisory process (¶ 413.3) shall monitor the fulfillment of the terms of the resolution. If the supervisory response does not result in resolution of the matter, the president or secretary of the College of Bishops may either dismiss the complaint as having no basis in law or fact, with the consent of the College of Bishops and the committee on episcopacy, giving the reasons therefore in writing, copies of which shall be placed

in the bishop's file and shared with the complainant, refer the matter to the committee on episcopacy as an administrative complaint pursuant to ¶ 413.3e, or refer the matter to counsel for the Church pursuant to ¶ 2704.1 to prepare a complaint to forward to the committee on investigation.

#### **Rationale:**

This petition restores language from 2008, guarding against the arbitrary dismissal of complaints. Prevents a bishop from deciding which parts of Church law should be upheld in a given conference. Unreasonable dismissal can deprive the Church and complainants of the ability to hold persons accountable and repair harm done to . . .

#### **¶2701.5.**

Petition Number: 90045-¶2701.5-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

#### **CoWF - Traditional Plan #14 - Just Resolution - Par. 2701.5**

Amend Just Resolution in ¶¶ 362.1, 413.3c, 2701.5, 2706.5.c.3 as follows:

¶ 362. *Complaint Procedures*—1. Ordination and membership in an annual conference in The United Methodist Church is . . .

This review shall have as its primary purpose a just resolution of any violations of this sacred trust, in the hope that God's work . . .

A just resolution is one that focuses on repairing any harm to people and communities, achieving real accountability by making things right insofar as possible and bringing healing to all the parties. Just resolutions shall state all identified harms and how they shall be addressed by the Church and other parties to the complaint. In cases where the respondent acknowledges action(s) that are a clear violation of the provisions of the Discipline, a just resolution shall include, but not be limited to, a commitment not to repeat the action(s) that were a violation. In appropriate situations, processes seeking a just resolution as defined in ¶ 362.1c may be pursued. Special attention should be given to ensuring that cultural, racial, ethnic, and gender contexts are valued throughout the process in terms of their understandings of fairness, justice, and restoration.

A complaint is a written and signed statement claiming misconduct as defined in ¶ 2702.1. When . . .

¶ 413. *Complaints Against Bishops*—

3. c) The supervisory response may include a process seeking a just resolution in which the parties are assisted

by a trained, impartial third party facilitator(s) or mediator(s) in reaching an agreement satisfactory to all parties. (See ¶ 362.1b, c.) The appropriate persons, including the president of the College of Bishops, or the secretary if the complaint concerns the president, should enter into a written agreement outlining such process, including an agreement as to confidentiality. If resolution is achieved, a written statement of resolution, including terms and conditions, shall be signed by the parties and the parties shall agree on any matters to be disclosed to third parties. Such written statement of resolution shall be given to the person in charge of that stage of the process for further action consistent with the agreement. Just resolutions shall state all identified harms and how they shall be addressed by the Church and other parties to the complaint. In cases where the respondent acknowledges action(s) that are a clear violation of the provisions of the Discipline, a just resolution shall include, but not be limited to, a commitment not to repeat the action(s) that were a violation.

¶ 2701.5. *A Just Resolution in Judicial Proceedings*—A just resolution is one that focuses on repairing any harm to people and communities, achieving real accountability by making things right insofar as possible and bringing healing to all the parties. Just resolutions shall state all identified harms and how they shall be addressed by the Church and other parties to the complaint. In cases where the respondent acknowledges action(s) that are a clear violation of the provisions of the Discipline, a just resolution shall include, but not be limited to, a commitment not to repeat the action(s) that were a violation. Special attention should be given to ensuring that cultural, racial, ethnic, age, and gender contexts are valued throughout the process in terms of their understandings of fairness, justice, and restoration. During the just resolution process, the parties . . .

¶ 2706.5 c) Findings other than reasonable grounds by committee or other actions

(3) Upon recommendation of the counsel for the Church and the counsel for the respondent, the committee may refer the matter to the resident bishop as deemed appropriate for a process seeking a just resolution. The bishop shall institute such a process and may use the assistance of a trained, impartial third party facilitator(s) or mediator(s). Such referral will not constitute a dismissal or double jeopardy under ¶ 2701.2d. The appropriate persons, including the counsel for the Church and counsel for the respondent, should enter into a written agreement outlining the process, including any agreements on confidentiality. If resolution is achieved, a written statement, affirming such resolution, including any terms and conditions, shall be signed by the same persons who signed the written agreement outlining the process, and they shall

agree on any matters to be disclosed to third parties. Just resolutions shall state all identified harms and how they shall be addressed by the Church and other parties to the complaint. In cases where the respondent acknowledges action(s) that are a clear violation of the provisions of the Discipline, a just resolution shall include, but not be limited to, a commitment not to repeat the action(s) that were a violation. If the resolution results in a change of ministerial status, the disclosure agreement shall not prevent the disciplinary disclosures . . .

#### Rationale:

The purpose of a just resolution is to repair harm and achieve accountability, both of which are enhanced by the respondent's willingness to change future behavior, as witnessed by a commitment not to repeat the offense. Refusal to make such a commitment increases the likelihood of future offenses and complaints.

#### ¶2701.5.

Petition Number: 90046-¶2701.5-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

#### CoWF - Traditional Plan #15 - Just Resolution - Par. 2701.5

Amend ¶¶ 362.1c), 413.3c), 2701.5, and 2706.5.c.3 by adding the same sentence to all four as follows: No matter where in the process a just resolution is achieved, the complainant(s) shall be a party to the resolution process and every effort shall be made to have the complainant(s) agree to the resolution before it may take effect. Also add the complainant, in ¶¶ 2701.5 and 2706.5(c)3.

¶ 362. Complaint Procedures—1. Ordination and membership in an annual conference in The United Methodist Church is a sacred trust. . .

. . .

c) Just Resolution—The supervisory response may include a process that seeks a just resolution in which the parties are assisted by a trained, impartial third party facilitator(s) or mediator(s), in reaching an agreement satisfactory to all parties.<sup>69</sup> If the bishop chooses to initiate a mediated attempt to produce a just resolution, then the bishop, the person filing the complaint, the respondent, and other appropriate persons shall enter into a written agreement outlining the process, including any agreements on confidentiality. A process seeking a just resolution may begin at any time in the supervisory, complaint, or trial process. No matter where in the process a just resolution is achieved, the complainant(s) shall be a party to

the resolution process and every effort shall be made to have the complainant(s) agree to the resolution before it may take effect. If resolution is achieved, a written statement of resolution, including any terms and conditions, shall be signed by the parties and the parties shall agree on any matters to be disclosed to third parties. A just resolution agreed to by all parties shall be a final disposition of the related complaint.

¶ 413. Complaints Against Bishops

. . .

3. After receiving a complaint as provided in ¶ 413.2,

. . .

. . .

c) The supervisory response may include a process seeking a just resolution in which the parties are assisted by a trained, impartial third party facilitator(s) or mediator(s) in reaching an agreement satisfactory to all parties. (See ¶ 363.1b, c.) The appropriate persons, including the president of the College of Bishops, or the secretary if the complaint concerns the president, should enter into a written agreement outlining such process, including an agreement as to confidentiality. No matter where in the process a just resolution is achieved, the complainant(s) shall be a party to the resolution process and every effort shall be made to have the complainant(s) agree to the resolution before it may take effect. If resolution is achieved, a written statement of resolution, including terms and conditions, shall be signed by the parties and the parties shall agree on any matters to be disclosed to third parties. Such written statement of resolution shall be given to the person in charge of that stage of the process for further action consistent with the agreement.

¶ 2701.5. A Just Resolution in Judicial Proceedings—A just resolution is one that focuses on repairing any harm to people and communities, achieving real accountability by making things right insofar as possible and bringing healing to all the parties. Special attention should be given to ensuring that cultural, racial, ethnic, age, and gender contexts are valued throughout the process in terms of their understandings of fairness, justice, and restoration. During the just resolution process, the parties may be assisted by a trained, impartial third party facilitator(s) or mediator(s) in reaching an agreement satisfactory to all parties. Processes that seek a just resolution are encouraged at any time, including through the judicial proceedings. After the referral of a matter as a judicial complaint from counsel for the Church to the committee on investigation, if a process seeking a just resolution is used, the appropriate persons, including the counsel for the Church, the complainant, and the counsel for the respondent, should enter into a written agreement outlining such process, including any agreement on confidentiality. No matter where in the process a just

resolution is achieved, the complainant(s) shall be a party to the resolution process and every effort shall be made to have the complainant(s) agree to the resolution before it may take effect. If resolution is achieved, a written statement of resolution, including terms and conditions, shall be signed by the same persons who signed the written agreement outlining the process, and they shall agree on any matters to be disclosed to third parties. If the resolution results in a change of ministerial status, the disclosure agreement shall not prevent the disciplinary disclosures required for possible readmission.

¶ 2706.5. Bill of Charges and Specifications, Deliberations, Vote, and Referral

c) Findings other than reasonable grounds by committee or other actions

(1) If the committee on investigation determines . . .

(2) If the committee on investigation determines . . .

(3) Upon recommendation of the counsel for the Church and the counsel for the respondent, the committee may refer the matter to the resident bishop as deemed appropriate for a process seeking a just resolution. The bishop shall institute such a process and may use the assistance of a trained, impartial third party facilitator(s) or mediator(s). Such referral will not constitute a dismissal or double jeopardy under ¶ 2701.5. The appropriate persons, including the counsel for the Church, the complainant, and counsel for the respondent, should enter into a written agreement outlining the process, including any agreements on confidentiality. No matter where in the process a just resolution is achieved, the complainant(s) shall be a party to the resolution process and every effort shall be made to have the complainant(s) agree to the resolution before it may take effect. If resolution is achieved, a written statement, affirming such resolution, including any terms and conditions, shall be signed by the same persons who signed the written agreement outlining the process, and they shall agree on any matters to be disclosed to third parties. If the resolution results in a change of ministerial status, the disclosure agreement shall not prevent the disciplinary disclosures required for readmission. The written statement affirming such resolution shall be given to the bishop for further action(s) to implement the agreement, if any. If the process does not result in resolution, the matter shall be returned to the committee.

**Rationale:**

The current practice of allowing the counsel for the Church to enter into a just resolution without the participation or agreement of the complainant is unjust and circumvents the goal of restoration of relationship. In order for justice to be done and healing to take place, the complainants must be . . .

**¶2715.10.**

Petition Number: 90047-¶2715.10-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

**CoWF - Traditional Plan #16 - Church Appeal - Par. 2715.10**

*Amend ¶ 2715.10 Appeal Procedures as follows:*

¶ 2715. Appeal Procedures—General

. . .

10. The Church shall have no right of appeal from findings of fact of the trial court. The Church shall have a right of appeal to the committee on appeals and then to the Judicial Council from findings of the trial court based on egregious errors of Church law or administration that could reasonably have affected the findings of the trial court. When the committee on appeals or the Judicial Council shall find egregious errors of Church law or administration under this part, it may remand the case for a new trial, along with a statement of the grounds of its action. This is not to be double jeopardy. In regard to cases where there is an investigation under ¶ 2702, but no trial is held, egregious errors of Church law or administration may be appealed to the jurisdictional or central conference committee on appeals and then to the Judicial Council by counsel for the Church. The committee on investigation's decision not to certify a bill of charges does not alone constitute an egregious error of Church law or administration. When the committee on appeals or the Judicial Council shall find egregious errors of Church law or administration under this part, it may remand the case for a new hearing, in which event it shall return to the chair of the committee on investigation a statement of the grounds of its action. This is not to be double jeopardy.

**Rationale:**

Enables the Church to correct errors in the trial process that prejudice the results of the trial. Disallowing appeals by the Church fails to protect the rights of the complainant and closes off one avenue to work for justice. Levels the playing field for the Church/complainant and the respondent with . . .

**¶570.**

Petition Number: 90048-¶570-\$-G; Lambrecht, Thomas A. - Spring, TX, USA for Commission on a Way Forward.

**CoWF - Traditional Plan #17 - Concordat Churches - Par. 570**

*Amend ¶¶ 570 and 574.1 to create the option of concordat churches in the United States. The changes in these paragraphs shall take effect immediately upon the adjournment of the 2019 General Conference.*

¶ 570. Churches located outside the boundaries of the jurisdictional conferences and churches formed through the provisions of ¶ 2801 and which have entered into relationship with or have agreements with The United Methodist Church, including that of sending representatives to General Conference of The United Methodist Church are described as follows:

¶ 574. Concordat Agreements-

1. With the exception of The Methodist Church of Great Britain and churches formed through the provisions of ¶ 2801, such concordats may be established by the following procedure:

**Rationale:**

This opens the possibility for concordat churches in the United States, allowing negotiated covenants and relationships between self-governing Methodist churches formed under ¶ 2801 and The United Methodist Church. This allows a continuing connection with those congregations departing from the denomination because of conscience.

90037 – TP6 – par. 635.1.a Composition of Board of Ordained Ministry **as amended**

Members shall be nominated by the presiding bishop after consultation with the chairperson of the board, the executive committee, or a committee elected by the board of the previous quadrennium, and with the cabinet. Prior to being nominated for membership on the Board of Ministry by the bishop, any individual must certify to the bishop that he or she will uphold, enforce and maintain the *Book of Discipline* in its entirety, including but not limited to all the qualifications for ordination (par. 304, 330, 335, 336). Additionally the bishops must certify to the annual conference secretary that he or she only has nominated individuals who will uphold, enforce, and maintain *The Book of Discipline* in its entirety, including but not limited to the qualifications for ordination (par. 304, 330, 335 and 336). To ensure adequate board membership ...